UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,986	03/25/2004	Roger L. Schultz	HES 2003-IP-012204U1	7762
29920 JOHN W. WUS	7590 10/02/2007		EXAMINER SCHNEIDER, CRAIG M	
P.O. BOX 143	1			
DUNCAN, OK	73536		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

z (Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/808,986	SCHULTZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Craig M. Schneider	3753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. sply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ T Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte		3
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-102</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-17, 33, 34, 46-</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-12,18,19,24,35-45,53-56,87 and</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>20-23, 25-32, and 57-59</u> is/are object to restriction and	52, 60-86, and 89-102 is/are 1 88 is/are rejected. ected to.	withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2007 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square ob the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/808,986

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 8/23/07. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1-12, 18, 19, 35-45, 53, 54, 87, and 88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer (Re. 33,448) in view of Warren (3,158,166). Bauer discloses an apparatus (56 and 57) as seen in Figure 8 for creating a pulsating fluid flow comprising an inlet (far left side of the figure) into which fluid flows, a chamber (portion between the inlet and 58 and 59) having an upstream end and a downstream end, wherein the chamber is defined by a pair of outwardly-projecting sidewalls and wherein the inlet is disposed at the upstream end of the chamber, at least two feedback passages (passageways extending from 58 and 59 back to the inlet) having opposed entrances at the downstream end of the chamber and opposed exits at the upstream end of the chamber near where the chamber joins the inlet, and a feedback cavity (area between the exit on the far right of figure 8 and including 58 and 59) disposed at the downstream end of the chamber (col. 8, line 6 to col. 10, line 22). Bauer fails to disclose at least one feedback outlet leaving each of the feedback passages and at least one exit flowline leaving the at least one feedback outlet, wherein the at least one exit flowline has an exit port. Warren discloses at least one feedback outlet (where 53 and 56 leave 51 and 54) leaving each of the feedback passages as seen in Figure 4 and at least one exit flowline (53 and 56) leaving the at least one feedback outlet, wherein the at least one exit flowline has an exit port (col. 9, line 52 to col. 10, line 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/808,986 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the exit flow lines off of the feedback passages of Warren onto the fluidic oscillator of Bauer, to control the pulsating fluid flow (col. 9, line 72 to col. 10, line 1).

Regarding claims 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 37, 39, 40, 42, and 43; wherein the exit port of the at least one exit flowline is disposed near the downstream end of the chamber.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to move the exit flowline to another location along the feedback passage and further in different orientations, more specifically parallel to the inlet and towards the downstream end of the chamber. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected applicant's invention to perform equally well with the exit flowline anywhere in the feedback passage and in any orientation because the location of the exit flowline would not effect the performance of the device. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Bauer/Warren to obtain the invention as specified in claims 4, 6, 7, 9, 10.

Regarding claim s 18 and 53, the apparatus further comprising at least one fluid outlet (the exit located at the far right of figure 8) leaving the feedback cavity.

3. Claims 24, 55, and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer (Re. 33,448)/Warren as applied to claim 1 and 35 above, and further in view of Bauer (4,157,161).

Application/Control Number: 10/808,986 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

Bauer (Re. 33,448) and Warren in combination disclose all the features of the claimed invention except that a second fluidic oscillator is used. Bauer (4,157,161) discloses using a second fluidic oscillator (col. 3, lines 10-18).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a second oscillator as taught by Bauer('161) with the fluidic oscillator of Bauer(Re. 33,4480)/Warren, to cover more area (col. 3, lines 10-18)

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 20-23, 25-32, and 57-59 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to disclose or render obvious that the fluidic oscillator is cut into the surface of the mandrel to form a fluidic oscillator insert as claimed in claim 20 in combination with the other limitations set forth in the independent claim. The prior art also fails to disclose or render obvious that the fluidic oscillator is formed on a half mandrel as claimed in claim 57 in combination with the other limitations set forth in the independent claim.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12, 18, 19, 24, 35-45, 53-56, 87, and 88 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,986

Art Unit: 3753

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. O'Neill (3,448,752) and Chen (3,614,964) disclose exit flowlines leaving the feedback passage for controlling the pulsating fluid flow.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig M. Schneider whose telephone number is (571) 272-3607. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 -5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CMS CMS September 27, 2007

PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 347